IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ADLER DARWIN CANO VILLATORO,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Petitioner,

v.

JANET NAPOLITANO,

Respondent.

NO. C10-5875 TEH

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE; ORDER VACATING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

The Court is in receipt of the parties' joint case management statement filed on March 22, 2011, indicating that the mandate will soon issue in Singh v. Napolitano, 619 F.3d 1101 (9th Cir. 2010). It appears that Singh bars the pending habeas petition because Petitioner "did not exhaust his available administrative remedies" by "first fil[ing] a motion to reopen with the Board before bringing his habeas petition in district court." *Id.* at 1105. In the March 22 joint statement, Petitioner argued that Singh, which dealt with a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel, does not apply to his petition claiming fraud perpetrated by a former attorney not authorized to practice law. However, in Petitioner's traverse, he argued that his fraud claim constitutes ineffective assistance of counsel. Traverse 8:1-17 (January 24, 2011) (citing Lopez v. INS, 184 F.3d 1097 (9th Cir. 1999)). Accordingly, no later than fourteen (14) days after the issuance of a mandate in Singh, Petitioner is HEREBY ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE in writing as to why, in light of *Singh*, his petition should not be dismissed. Unless otherwise ordered, this matter will then be taken under submission. // // // //

Case 3:10-cv-05875-TEH Document 22 Filed 03/24/11 Page 2 of 2

2	1
3	
4	Ι
5	
6	Ι
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	

	The case management conference scheduled for Monday, March 28, 2011, is hereby
VAC	ATED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 3/24/11

THELTON E. HENDERSON, JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT